



Main Results of the Previous Meetings and Overview of Whitepapers

Bernd Mohr

Jülich Supercomputing Centre

Main (Re)source for this Summary

- IESP website (especially Documents section)
 - <http://www.exascale.org/iesp/IESP:Documents>
- Contents
 - IESP Exploratory Meeting SC08, Austin
 - Presentations
 - Meeting 1, Santa Fe
 - Presentations, breakout summaries
 - 15 whitepapers
 - Meeting 2, Paris
 - Presentations, breakout summaries
 - 15 whitepapers
 - Relevant background material
 - 11 reports (some quite extensive)

- 67 attendees
- Agenda
 - Various talks about status of HPC landscape in Japan, US, Europe
 - Panel “Software Barriers for HPC”
 - Breakout 1: Technical roadmap discussion
 - Breakout 2: Collaboration model and funding



Santa Fe, High Level Summary

- 1 day of talks
- 0.5 day of 2-way parallel breakouts
- 14 out of 15 whitepaper written by people from one institution
- Meeting mainly to
 - Understand what IESP is about
 - Understand status of HPC landscape in other continents
 - Position ourselves
 - Get organized and started
- Technical roadmap breakout group discussed more process than content
- But identified important topics/persons to write position papers

- 73 attendees
- Agenda
 - Reports from last meeting
 - Breakout 1: Technical challenges (software)
 - Breakout 2: Computational challenges (applications)
 - Breakout 3: Management challenges (resource providers and industry partners)
 - Breakout 4: National and international funding



- 0.5 day of talks
- 1.5 days of 4-way parallel breakouts
- 10 out of 15 whitepapers multi-institutional cooperations

- All breakout groups
 - identified key structural elements of coordinated software roadmap
 - prepared detailed reports about work and discussions
- Extensive 35 page report
- Provides basis for creating draft roadmap in Japan meeting

- Identified, discussed, and assessed **important research topics**
- For each topic created **1-page summary**
(⇒ “Summary working group slides, complete”)
 - Situation
 - State of the art
 - Needed actions
 - Roadmap + research
- Partial **categorization**
 - [P]** Problematic already at petascale
 - [M]** Currently manageable, problematic later at some point
 - [ok]** Need research but appear manageable now

- **Inter-node research topics (breakout group 1B)**
 - I/O [P]
 - Resiliency (RAS, HA, Fault prevention, detection, recovery) [P]
 - Eliminate bottlenecks to strong scaling [P]
 - Parallel programming models (distributed memory / PGAS) [P]
 - Parallel debugging [M]
 - Performance monitoring, feedback, parallel autotuning [M]
 - Power and facilities [M]
 - Resource provisioning (workflow scheduling) [M]
 - Interaction with external resources (clouds, archiving, real-time data streams) [M]
 - System integration and management [ok]

- **Intra-node research topics (breakout group 1A)**
 - 1-billion way concurrency and load balancing [P]
 - Locality and distributed data [P]
 - Sustainability and interoperability [M]
 - Operating systems [M]
 - Algorithms + Software Libraries [M]
 - Performance [M]
 - Fault tolerance [P]
- **Topics for future discussion**
 - Characterization of a node
 - Intra-node and inter-node interplay
 - Evolution / revolution
 - Connection to application drivers

- **Selected representative application domains**
 - Weather, climate, earth sciences
 - Astrophysics, HEP, and plasma physics
 - Materials science, chemistry, nanoscience
 - Life sciences
 - Engineering
 - Finance & optimization
- **Identified software challenges** as seen from application drivers
 - Still need to be compared and integrated with issues from software group
- **Collected list of experts** for further insight, analysis and validation of roadmap issues
- More detailed report by Jean-Yves (tomorrow)

- Discussed
 - Lessons to be learn from other large-scale projects
 - Implications of HW and SW on HPC market
- strong indications that **vertical design approach is needed**
- **Focused on potential mechanisms and models**
 - that could facilitate **collaboration** between
 - exascale community
 - industry partners
 - government
 - in a mutually productive and sustainable way

- Identified collaboration models
 - **Funded investigation**
 - Research exploration resulting in insight and knowledge
 - **Full defined purchase**
 - "Standard" product acquisition
 - **Design a development (no product)**
 - Creating and demonstrating prototypes
 - **Co-design and co-development**
 - Like purchase but shared risk (buyer + vendor)
 - **Base plus value add**
 - Produces baseline product + incentives to add more value
- Funding profiles greatly effect type + sustainability of models

- Group discussed
 - **Existing funding programs and cooperation mechanisms** to support exascale research
 - Cross-atlantic bilteral funding feasible and not uncommon
 - **Motivations and conditions for cooperation** between funding agencies
 - **Actions for near future**
- Recognize that the combined urgency and scope of problem necessitate a coordinated, global response
 - Requires strategy / methodology for exascale SW integration
 - **IESP roadmap plays key role** to allow agencies to plan program and define initiatives

- Agreed that international collaboration in exascale
 - should involve joint road mapping
 - periodic workshops
 - coordination of investments to avoid excess duplication
 - standardization
 - as well as joint projects in specific cases
 - Open source policies necessary for effective reuse
- Identified the **need for structured and stable framework for international collaboration**
 - currently often bilateral, driven by individuals
 - Multilateral at institution / agency / political level could help

- 1 Programming models and languages
 - **1-billion way concurrency and load balancing**
 - Enough threads for millions of core to hide latency + LB
 - **Locality and distributed data**
 - Need standard + scalable way to express scope and locality
 - **Eliminate bottlenecks to strong (and weak) scaling**
 - Linear scaling not enough for exascale
 - Limited memory will not always allow weak scaling
 - **Sustainability and interoperability**
 - Large existing code base
 - New models must provide incremental transition path
 - **Programming model support for fault tolerance**

1 Programming models and languages

- The Biggest Need: A New Model of Computation **[SF]**
Thomas Sterling (LSU)
- Slouching Towards Exascale **[SF]**
Rusty Lusk (ANL)
- BSC Vision Towards Exascale **[SF]**
Mateo Valero (BSC)
- Models of Computation - Enabling Exascale **[Pa]**
Thomas Sterling (LSU)
- Programmability Issues **[Pa]**
Vivek Sarkar (Rice U.), Jesus Labarta (UPC), Mitsuhsa Sato (U. of Tsukuba),
Barbara Chapman (U. of Houston)
- Programming Models at Exascale: adaptive runtime systems, incomplete
simple languages, and interoperability **[Pa]**
Sanjay Kale (UIUC)

- ② System software
 - **Operating systems**
 - Need to handle heterogeneous HW and unconventional memory structures, leave scheduling to runtime systems
 - **Virtualization, dynamic provisioning, partitioning**
 - Provide fault isolation
 - **System integration and management**
 - More systematic approaches needed
- The Case for A Hierarchical System Model for Linux Clusters **[SF]**
Mark Seager, Brent Gorda (LLNL)
- Resource Management **[Pa]**
Barney McCabe (ORNL), Hugo Falter (ParTec)
- Operating Systems for Exascale **[Ts]**
- John Shalf (LBL), Thomas Sterling (LSU)

- ③ Tools for parallel programming
 - **Performance analysis, monitoring, and workload analysis**
 - Light-weight, scalable monitoring facilities needed
 - More modeling, on-line, automation, clustering, ...
 - More integration with compilers and runtime systems
 - **Parallel debugging**
 - Current approaches to don't scale, new ones needed
- On the Importance of End-to-end Application Performance Monitoring and Workload Analysis at the Exascalec **[Pa]**
David Skinner (LBNL), Alok Choudary (Northwestern U.)
- Performance at Exascale **[Pa]**
Bernd Mohr (JSC), Matthias S. Müller, Wolfgang E. Nagel (ZIH Dresden)
- **MISSING: Parallel debugging**

4 Algorithms and software libraries

- Need global synchronization-free (-minimal) algorithms
 - Need fault-insensitive and error tolerant algorithms
 - New approaches for pre- (e.g. meshing) and post-processing (e.g. visualization)
-
- XXL Simulation for XXIst Century Power Systems Operation **[SF]**
J.Y. Berthou, J.F. Hamelin, Etienne de Rocquigny (EDF R&D)
 - Application Analysis and Porting in the PRACE Project **[SF]**
Peter Michielse (NCF)
 - PDE-based applications and solvers at extreme scale **[SF]**
David Keyes (Columbia U. + SciDAC TOPS)
 - Climate Change Research at Exascale **[Ts]**
Giovanni Aloisio, Italo Epicoco (U. of Salento), Silvia Mocavero (CMCC), Mark Taylor (SNL)

5 Power and facilities

- Need more power-efficient devices, packaging, facilities
- Power-aware scheduling, ...
- **MISSING**

6 Resiliency and fault tolerance

- Exascale: will have to cope with continuous stream of failures
- Time to checkpoint longer than MTBF
- Vertical and transparent mechanisms needed
- Towards Exascale Resilience **[Pa]**
Franck Cappello (INRIA), Al Geist (ORNL), Bill Gropp (UIUC), Sanjay Kale (UIUC), Bill Kramer (UIUC), Marc Snir (UIUC)
- Resilience and Fault Tolerance **[Pa] [PPT]**
Al Geist (ORNL), Franck Cappello (INRIA)

7 Systems and software integration

- New robust and more stable way of installing and maintaining HPC software stack needed
 - Automated testing, change management, ...
-
- A Collaboration and Commercialization Model for Exascale Software Research **[SF]**
Mark Seager, Brent Gorda (LLNL)
 - An Exascale Approach to Software and Hardware Design **[Pa]**
William Kramer (NCSA), David Skinner(LBNL)
 - Consistent Application Performance at Exascale **[Pa]**
William Kramer(NCSA), David Skinner(LBNL)

8 I/O

- File + directory abstractions scalable enough?
- New caching techniques, new technologies (e.g. SSD)
- Towards Exascale File I/O [\[Pa\]](#) [\[PPT\]](#)
Yutaka Ishikawa (U. of Tokyo)

9 Interactions with external resources: clouds, archiving, real-time data streams

- Projection: 100s of exabytes of data generated by 2020
- Towards exascale distributed data management [\[Pa\]](#)
Giovanni Aloisio (CMCC)

10 Meta / Process-related Papers

- Co-design
- Organisation
- The Application Perspective - Seeking Productivity and Performance [SF]
David Barkai (Intel)
- A Proposal for a Capability Centers Consortium [SF]
Bill Gropp, Mark Snir, (NCSA + UIUC)
- NSF IESP Whitepaper [SF]
Abani Patra, Rob Pennington, Ed Seidel (NSF)
- Developing a high performance computing/numerical analysis roadmap [SF]
Ann Trefethen, Nick Higham, Ian Duff, Peter Coveney, (UK)
- Co-design of Architectures and Algorithms [Pa]
Al Geist (ORNL), Sudip Dosanjh (SNL)
- Early application development/tuning and application characterization/segmentation [Pa]
Sanjay Kale (UIUC)

★ Multi-topic papers

- Major Computer Science Challenges at Exascale [Pa] ① ② ④ ⑥ ⑦
Al Geist (ORNL), Robert Lucas (ISI)
- Software Challenges of Extreme Scale Computing [SF] ① ⑥ ⑩
Michael Heroux (SNL)
- Musings on the Path Toward Exascale [SF] ⑥ ⑩
Robert Lucas (ISI/USC)
- Software and Exascale Computing [SF] ① ③ ⑥ ⑨
Bill Camp (Intel)
- IESP Challenges: Application Development, Translation ① ② ③
and Execution Environments
Barbara Chapman (U. of Houston), Richard Graham (ORNL), Barney Maccabe (ORNL), Oscar Hernandez(U. of Houston), Bernd Mohr(JSC), Wolfgang E. Nagel(TUD-ZIH)

What's next?

- Write IESP roadmap!
- SC 2009, Portland, OR
 - **BOF "International Exascale Software Program"**
Wed, Nov 18, 17:30 – 19:00
 - **Panel "The Road to Exascale: Hardware and Software Challenges"**
Fri, Nov 20, 10:30 – 12:00

